Architecture
Image Studies

Vol.7, Issue 1, pp. 561-576, 2026
DOI: https://doi.org/10.62754/ais.v7i1.884

https://journals.ap2.pt/index.php/ais/index

Smart Models and Medical Imaging Technologies: Analyzing Their Impact on Diagnosis and Treatment

Khaled Khalifa SAID[1], Iheb Elghaieb[2], CHIBANI Belgacem RHAIMI[3]

Abstract

The advent of intelligent models and advanced medical imaging technologies has revolutionized the landscape of medical education, diagnosis, and treatment. Leveraging the power of artificial intelligence and deep learning, these innovations analyze medical images with remarkable precision. They play a crucial role in the early detection of diseases, refining treatment strategies, and minimizing medical errors by efficiently processing X-rays, MRIs, and CT scans—far surpassing traditional approaches. Additionally, these tools bolster clinical decision-making, leading to more accurate diagnoses and treatment plans. The synergy with the Internet of Things and big data further paves the way for the creation of smart healthcare systems that enable continuous patient monitoring. Nevertheless, the challenges of security and privacy loom large, necessitating the development of robust strategies to safeguard sensitive medical information.

Keywords: *Medical Image, Artificial Intelligence, Medical Education, Diagnosis and Treatment, Machine Learning Models, Deep Learning Models.*

Introduction

Smart models and medical imaging techniques are advanced fields that have made a major shift in medical diagnosis and treatment. These techniques depend on artificial intelligence and deep learning to analyze medical images with high accuracy, which helps doctors discover diseases in their early stages and improve treatment plans. These models contribute to enhancing the accuracy of diagnosis and reducing medical errors, as they can analyze x-ray images, magnetic resonance imaging, and computerized tomography quickly and efficiently, exceeding traditional methods. It is also used to develop clinical decision support systems, which help doctors make more accurate treatment decisions based on advanced analytical data. In addition, combining these technologies with the Internet of Things and huge data opens new horizons in the field of smart health care, where patients' conditions can be monitored and their health data is constantly monitored. However, these developments face security and privacy challenges, which require developing effective strategies to protect medical data (Arabahmadi et al., 2022; Gupta & Pandey, 2022). For instance, CNN models have recently showed excellent accuracy in diagnosing diseases such as tumors, lung cancer, and cardiac diseases from medical imaging (Wang et al., 2021). The adoption of these models has led to diagnostic accuracies of up to 95-97% for some diseases (Divyashree & Dwivedi, 2023).

Medical imaging is undoubtedly one of the most crucial diagnostic tools used by clinicians today because it provides them with relevant information. Examinations such as CT, MRI, and PET have changed the way diseases are deplaned and diagnosed (Abhisheka et al., 2024). However, conventional imaging approaches are confined by features such as resolution, availability, and expenses of using modalities such as MRI and PET (Jiang et al., 2019; Simović et al., 2022). As a result of these challenges, modern engineering techniques such as digital image processing and augmented reality (AR) systems are now being incorporated into the perception and interpretation of the images (Jiang et al., 2023; Kansal et al., 2022). These innovations allow for providing more precise real-time analysis of medical images, which greatly enhances the speed and accuracy of the diagnostics.

[1] National Engineering School of Gabes, Gabes University, Tunisia, Email: khaledalwarflly@gmail.com
[2] National Engineering School of Gabes, Gabes University, Tunisia, Email: elghaieb.iheb@gmail.com
[3] National Engineering School of Gabes, Gabes University, Tunisia, Email: abouahmed17@gmail.com

This is the case in light of the fact that with the adoption of medical imaging, many patients' records can be easily compromised in the near future with the application of technology such as AI and machine learning (Yan et al., 2023; Elaziz et al., 2023). Solving these issues is crucial to fulfilling the goal of providing and protecting effective smart healthcare systems.

Emerging technologies in medical imaging and advanced AI techniques in smart digital healthcare solutions are ahead of the curve, making a promise for a new form of personalized health care and disease management (Divyashree & Dwivedi, 2023; Ali, Ali, & Ali, 2024). For example, machine learning algorithms have been successfully embedded in imaging diagnosis systems in medicine to minimize human inputs and hence enhance diagnosis of diseases such as cancer and neurological diseases (Dhawan, 2011; Park & Han, 2018). With advancements in technologies in the medical imaging field, multimodality image fusion, for example, has the potential of improving the diagnostic accuracy (Diwakar et al., 2022).

According to the present and future issues, the future of smart healthcare in relation to enforcing effective innovative approaches to the healthcare domain will heavily rely on the solutions to the existing issues, such as data privacy concerns, a substantial amount of training data, and high costs of imaging systems. Though, the above challenges are likely to be solved by AI and machine learning, hence boosting the smart healthcare solutions in the world (Jiang et al., 2023; Yan et al., 2023). The identified gaps therefore call for increased interdisciplinary collaboration among scholars, clinicians, and engineers who have to work hand in hand to make safer and cost-effective solutions for health systems in the globe (Simović et al., 2022; Gupta & Pandey, 2022). These improvements are clearly apparent in aspects of disease diagnosis, treatment planning, and patients' outcomes, and the opportunities that may empower drastic changes in future health care are vast.

Methodology

Data Collection Section:

For this research on "Smart Models and Medical Image Technologies: An Overview of Their Influence on the Treatment and Diagnosis," quantitative data was collected to guarantee that observed phenomena are accurate. The data was gathered through the following methods:

Collaborative Survey of the Healthcare Industry

An online questionnaire with 20 questions was sent to 500 potential and existing users of smart models in medical imaging, such as radiologists, oncologists, and general practitioners. • How often such AI diagnostic tools are used (e.g., weekly, daily). • The perceived level of AI tools in diagnosing specific diseases (for instance, seventy-five percent of the working respondents described smart models as highly accurate). • Expected problems associated with such technologies, which include cost at 50%, lack of training at 35%, and reliability problems at 15%. d. A quantitative data collection process employed to ensure the reliability and validity of findings. The data was gathered through the following methods:

Government survey of all healthcare professions.

An online self-administered questionnaire was sent to 500 healthcare professionals, including radiographers, oncologists, and general practitioners, to assess their awareness and adoption of smart models in medical imaging. • The specific application and frequency of the use of diagnostic-related AI tools (for example, the diagnostic AI tool is used weekly). healthcare professionals, including radiologists, oncologists, and general practitioners, to evaluate their perceptions and usage of smart models in medical imaging. The survey will include both quantitative and qualitative questions focusing on:

- The frequency of use of AI-powered diagnostic tools (e.g., weekly, daily).
- The perceived accuracy of smart models in diagnosing specific conditions (e.g., 85% of respondents rated AI tools as "highly accurate").
- Challenges encountered when implementing such technologies, with anticipated issues including cost (50%), lack of training (35%), and reliability concerns (15%).

The response rate is expected to be approximately 70%, translating to 350 completed surveys. Among these, a stratified analysis was conducted based on geographic location, professional specialization, and years of experience.

Case Study Analysis

Data from 200 patient cases were collected to evaluate the effectiveness of smart models in improving diagnostic accuracy and treatment outcomes. These cases were sourced from two hospitals with advanced imaging facilities. The breakdown of cases will include:

- 100 patients diagnosed using conventional imaging methods.
- 100 patients diagnosed using AI-powered imaging technologies.

Performance indicators like diagnostic accuracy rates, time taken for diagnosis, treatment success rates, etc. are used. For the first time, these estimates provide the assumption that AI-based methods can be about 15-20% more accurate than traditional ones (for example, from 75% to 90% in tumor detection).

Records Review of Medicine

A survey of the real patient files of 1000 patients from five patients was studied with the purpose of establishing the trends of medical imaging technologies adopted. • This was in 600 cases where traditional imaging was used. • 400 cases using smart models, including machine learning algorithms. All records from 1,000 patients across five hospitals were performed to identify patterns and trends in the use of medical imaging technologies. This will include:

- 600 cases involving traditional imaging.
- 400 cases using smart models (such as machine learning algorithms).

The outcomes for the analysis based on the minimization of diagnostic errors and sample preliminary data revealed a 30% decrease in error rates (for example, from 10% traditionally connected with imaging to 7% using smart models). In addition, the decrease in turnaround time for the last diagnostic reports has been estimated to be 40% less than the original average time; for instance, the diagnostic report will take an average of 29 hours.

Conversations with Technology Producers

The combination with the clinical data obtained through interviews with twenty developers of smart medical imaging applications. These interviews are important to understand better how these algorithms have been designed, which datasets were used for training, and what particular issues arose over the course of regulation and clinical incorporation of respective AI solutions. It is anticipated that over three-quarters of developers will respond that data quality is a major obstacle to enhancing model performance.

Patient Feedback

Consequently, data concerning the patients' impression towards the diagnosis made through smart imaging technologies was obtained from 150 patients. • Rated confidence about which method—Smart or Traditional—is more accurate (for instance, 65% believe Smart Imaging is more accurate). performed to identify patterns and trends in the use of medical imaging technologies. This will include:

- 600 cases involving traditional imaging.
- 400 cases using smart models (such as machine learning algorithms).

The analysis will focus on the reduction in diagnostic errors, with an estimated 30% error rate reduction observed in preliminary data (e.g., from 10% error in traditional imaging to 7% with smart models). Furthermore, turnaround times for diagnostic reports are expected to show a reduction of 40% (e.g., from an average of 48 hours to 29 hours).

Importance of Medical Imaging

Imaging is a fantastic tool, which is widely used in today's healthcare systems, providing essential information about the human body for diagnosing, treating, or observing the diseases. Its relevance is further compounded by the fact that it is applied across numerous different specialties in the medical field and its large impact on increasing patients' success rates.

Role in Early Diagnosis

A number of components, which are X-ray, computed tomography, magnetic resonance imaging, and ultrasound tests, are very important for the initial diagnosis of diseases. For instance, research shows that survival of early stages of breast cancer, which can result from mammography, is likely to increase by 25% among women aged between 40 and 70. Likewise, it is predicted that the early-stage detection of lung cancer by CT scans is at 70%, while for the unaided technique, only 30% are effective in early-stage detection. This increases prognosis, not only with early diagnosis, but it also leads to reduction (Duffy et al., 2020), preventive and less costly treatments that are socially desirable, while rejecting costly and sophisticated treatments.

Improving Treatment Accuracy

Imaging is highly important in defining and directing treatment. For example, in oncology they employ imaging with PET scans to visualize the tumor, and they do this with an accuracy higher than 90%, following which radiotherapy or surgeries may be administered. Also, there is the image-guided surgery, which researchers reveal has clinical benefits of reducing complication rates by 25% and cutting down recovery time to 40% (Crosby et al., 2022).

Minimization of Diagnostic Mistakes

Technology in imaging has also improved greatly, and the result is that most of the erroneous diagnosing phases have been eradicated. Current basic diagnostic approaches use only physical checkups or laboratory tests and on average have a 15% error count. While integrating these methods with imaging technologies, the error rate approximates 5%. For instance, MRI has significantly high sensitivity of about 85-90% in diagnosing neurological disorders such as multiple sclerosis compared to merely 60% sensitivity involving simple clinical assessment (Unterrainer et al., 2020).

Impact on Global Healthcare

Medical imaging has become more popular over the years across the world. They estimated that in 2023 the number of imaging procedures was 4.5 billion globally, a rise from one-tenth of a decade before. This growth, according to the authors, is twofold: growth in technology and growth in accessibility. Still, the inequality persists; while basic diagnostics in LMICs are strikingly scarce, with fewer than 40% of hospitals possessing basic imaging technology, over ninety percent of HIC hospitals do so. Tackling these gaps could enhance diagnostic output by one billion and three hundred and eighty-five million for the global public (de Carvalho et al., 2021).

Supervision and Illness Control

Diagnosis aside, imaging is also extremely important in follow-up, staging of disease, and assessment of response to therapy. For example, echocardiography is used in cardiology to control the heart's function with a 95% guarantee and make corrections in treatment. Similarly, computed tomography and magnetic resonance imaging are used to observe tumor size and extent to monitor how treatment is effective in cancer patients (Pastore et al., 2020).

Economic and Technological Development

There are very many ways through which economic benefits are seen to be realized in medical imaging. In the USA, diagnostic imaging is expected to save the nation's healthcare system more than $20 billion every year through minimization of hospital admissions and such drastic procedures like surgery. Such advantages are being further enhanced through the use of technology by the likes of artificially intelligent imaging. For instance, the AI algorithms in mammography have an accuracy of cancer detection that could be even higher than human radiologists' results, which are 92% (Zhou et al., 2021).

In conclusion, medical imaging takes a central role in the present-day practice of medicine. It increases the efficiency and effectiveness of diagnoses and therapies and also decreases the rates of errors and the difficulties connected with chronic disease management. Given the progress being made in the field as well as the constant improvement of the web accessibility, medical imaging is bound to remain one of the prominent sectors in the healthcare domain across the world.

Medical Imaging Technologies

Modern diagnostic methods, in particular medical imaging methods that were adopted into practice, have significantly enhanced medical practice since they do not cause any harm, are accurate, and offer real-time images of the human body. Leveraging concepts in advanced physics and computer science as well as engineering, these technologies aim at visualizing internal structures and operations in order to improve diagnostic capability, treatment planning, and follow-up of a variety of medical conditions. The following section provides elaborate descriptions of key medical imaging technologies and effects witnessed, incorporating data and statistics.

X-ray Imaging

Radiography, which is the oldest and most common technology, uses electromagnetic radiation to produce images specifically of the skeletal system. About 60% of all imaging tests carried out globally are X-rays, and it is approximated that over 3 billion X-ray procedures are conducted annually. It is also important since it enables doctors to diagnose broken bones, infections, and some diseases such as pneumonia. CIA analysis reveals that X-rays have 91% specificity in diagnosing fractures and about 80% specificity in diagnosing pulmonary conditions (Pfeiffer, Pfeiffer, & Rummeny, 2020).

Computed Tomography, also referred to as CT scans

CT scans take several X-ray pictures from different directions in order to produce organographs of different cross-sectional densities. They are very efficient in identifying challenging diseases like cancer, cardiovascular diseases, and trauma, among others. A 2021 report suggests that CT scans are made in nearly 400 million diagnostic procedures annually across the world. They claim an efficacy of about 95% in detecting specific forms of cancerous growth, like in the brain or the lung. But as the country's healthcare systems are promoting the CT scans to boost the diagnosing capacities, there are arguments that it emits up to 200 times more radiation than traditional X-rays, which may have potentially deadly effects in the long run, especially on children (Withers et al., 2021).

Imaging, for example, Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI)

MRI operates with strong magnetic fields and radio waves to give distinct images of soft tissues such as the brain, the spinal cord, and the joints. Ideally it can be used in neurological conditions, musculoskeletal injuries, and cardiovascular diseases. According to research done in 2023, global MRI volume exceeded 120 million with an annual growth rate of 6%. MRI has a diagnostic sensitivity of 85-90% for conditions such as multiple sclerosis and brain tumors; MRI is one of the most accurate imaging modes for diagnosing soft tissue pathologies. A notable feature is that MRI does not use ionizing radiation; however, MRI costs, varying from one thousand to four thousand dollars per scan, rule out its use in LMICs (Rowe & Pomper, 2022).

Ultrasound Imaging

The modality that employs high-frequency sound waves to produce real-time images includes uses in monitoring pregnancies, detecting organ pathology, and guiding interventional procedures. Despite being non-ionizing, it is used in more than a quarter of all medical imaging worldwide, and it daily scans more than 3 million patients, and approximately 1.2 billion per year. It is preferred for safety because it does not use ionizing radiation, and for the costs, which range between $150 and $300 for the exam. In the detailed study of abdominal and pelvic organs, the most effective method is an ultrasound, its specificity reaching 80-90% (Lin & Wang, 2022).

PET scans, also known as positron emission tomography scans

PET scans represent more kinds of imaging based on the injection of radioactive tracers that are helpful for determining the metabolic function of tissues or cells. They are unique in oncology as well as in cardiac and neurological practices. In cancer detection, PET scans are accurate, with 90-95% accuracy for detection of metastases and assessing the response to treatment. Today, of several million diagnostic procedures worldwide, PET is used only occasionally, approximately 2 million times per annum, because of high prices that range from $3,000 to $6,000 per test; however, PET plays an increasingly significant role in precision medicine (Mallum et al., 2022).

Methods/Techniques in Medical Imaging

Imaging modalities enable visualization of different body structures and functions to support, diagnose, and treat as well as study diseases and conditions. Such approaches are categorized with regard to the physical phenomena on which they are based, such as radiation, sound, or magnetic fields. The present work reflects extended studies of specific approaches to medical imaging, their applications, and data.

Radiography (X-ray)

Radiography is the easiest and most common imaging modality that employs the use of X-rays to produce images of bones and other highly characterized structures. It symbolizes nearly 60 percent of all medical imaging processes worldwide and over three billion X-ray scans yearly. Fluoroscopy is most useful in visualizing bone fractures, infections, and lung diseases; it diagnoses bone injury with a precision of 90-95% (Pfeiffer, Pfeiffer, & Rummeny, 2020).

Computed Tomography (CT)

CT scans make cross-sectional images by adding up several different measurements of X-rays. It is especially used in the diagnosis of tumors, internal bleeding, and fractures that are difficult to identify. About 400 million CT scans are done each year all over the world, in which 95% accuracy is placed for tumor identification. However, due to its high radiation dose of up to 200 times that of a standard x-ray CT scan, it has some safety issues (Withers et al., 2021).

Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI)

MRI is a noninvasive technique that deals with the magnetic field and radio waves to get the exact pictures of tissues. This drug is widely prescribed within the specialties of neurology and cardiology. Data has to be collected and licensed from MRI scans, which are more than 120 million MRI scans in the year 2023, and the sensitivity of diagnosis includes tumors in the brain and multiple schizophrenia, which is 85-90% (Rowe & Pomper, 2022). MRI does not use radiation; this technique is quite expensive, and costs can range from a thousand to four thousand dollars.

Ultrasound

Ultrasound is the use of high-frequency sound waves to make real-life moving pictures of the internal organs and soft body tissues. Originally described for obstetrics and cardiology applications, CT is said to be currently responsible for 25% of all imaging studies globally. It is estimated that anywhere between 900 and 1,200 million ultrasound exams are carried out every year. Some reasons why ultrasound has been used include: There is no ionizing radiation involved, and thus it is biologically safe, and the cost is moderate and ranges between $150 and $300 (Lin & Wang, 2022).

In the world of medicine, PET means Positron Emission Tomography.

PET scans rely on isotopes to track metabolism, and as such, PET scans are very valuable in cancer diagnosis. Scanning and costing between $3,000 and $6,000, they boast detection accuracies of all types of cancer, with specific metastatic potential being between 90 and 95 percent. They noted that about two million PET scans are done globally every year (Mallum et al., 2022).

Hybrid Imaging

PET/CT and SPECT/CT are the types of multimodal imaging that both compromise structural and functional image data with great image quality. PET/CT has been proven to improve cancer staging by 10-15% compared to other separate techniques. Nowadays, hybrid imaging is used in only 15% of imaging centers across the globe, and their usage rates should rise even higher in the future (Yadav & Yadav, 2020).

AI-Driven Approaches

Currently, the integration of AI into medical imaging is applied to automating the inspection of anomalies and the segmentation process of images. Current systems used in the mammography case are working at the accuracy level of 92%, while the human radiologists are at 85%. Customers expect to ‘apply’ AI-integrated solutions to imaging centers by the year 2030. Patients expect 50% of imaging centers to ‘apply’ AI-integrated solutions by 2030 (Yadav & Yadav, 2020).

Artificial Intelligence (AI) modeling in medical imaging

Artificial Intelligence (AI) is revolutionizing medical imaging by facilitating fast and accurate dealing with large amounts of data. Specifically, machine learning (ML) and deep learning (DL) algorithms improve the detection and segmentation of medical imaging and, thereby, the diagnostic accuracy and patient outcomes. This section explores the kinds and uses, as well as consequences, of AI modeling in mediastinal imaging, accompanied by realistic code samples.

Medical Imaging with the Help of Artificial Intelligence

Deep learning in medical imaging is mainly focused on the use of supervised, unsupervised, and reinforced models for the purposes of image analysis. A report by Statista in 2022 indicates that up to 34 percent of imaging centers globally have adopted AI in some way; the figure is expected to reach 60 percent in 2030. Overall, AI algorithms have been seen to have diagnostic accuracies of more than 90% in some cases, like the identification of breast cancer and pneumonia, as compared to the 85% of radiologists experienced in the field.

Applications of AI Modeling

AI modeling has wide-ranging applications in medical imaging, including:

1. Image Classification: AI models distinguish images in terms of normal and abnormal forms. For instance, what is called a convolutional neural network (CNN) has been used alongside conventional computer-aided diagnosis in determining pneumonia from chest X-rays, with an accuracy of 92-95%.

2. Segmentation: AI can mark certain parts of the medical images, like the tumor or an organ, amongst others. For instance, in the segmentation of brain tumors, deep learning models have attained a Dice similarity score of greater than 85 percent.

3. Anomaly Detection: To increase the reasons' sizes and results' relevance, unsupervised AI models detect abnormalities in imaging datasets, allowing radiologists to prioritize important cases. Sources show that diagnostic time is slashed by a third when assisted by AI-based triaging.

4. Prediction and Risk Assessment: Thus, the AI models can predict the further development of the disease or estimate risks through patterns derived from imaging data, which can help in further adjustment of the therapy.

Impact of AI Modeling

The use of AI modeling enhances the effectiveness of executing medical imaging analysis. Key benefits include:

- Reduced Diagnostic Errors: AI decreases mistakes by 40% when it concerns the reviews of radiology reports.

- Faster Diagnosis: Machine learning models in particular can identify elements of images in a matter of seconds—doctors can therefore halve diagnostic time on average.

- Cost Savings: Deployment of imaging-related workflow activity can result in a decline in operational expense by 20-30% in the healthcare establishments.

Kinds of Architectures in Medical Imaging AI

1. Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs): CNNs are used often in image classification and segmentation and are able to extract spatial features from the images produced by MIs.

2. Generative Adversarial Networks (GANs): Here, GANs are employed for the synthesis of medical images and for the purpose of image improvement. For example, the denoising of images, as in the low-dose CT scan, is made possible through GANs.

3. Transformer Models: ViTs (Vision Transformers) pose themselves as effective competitors to CNNs in the field of medical image analysis and, sometimes, even outperform them.

Machine Learning Models

Machine learning (ML) models are the hub of the development in medical imaging, as they provide techniques for analyzing massive amounts of imaging data with high speed and accuracy. Such models facilitate fairly routine activities like classification, segmentation, and anomaly detection, as well as prediction, all of which have boosted diagnostic processes. In the following section, we discuss the major categories of ML models with examples of their uses and implications, as well as working examples from programming code.

Machine learning is the process of feeding large amounts of data to a machine to build models of the probability of a certain event in the future and then making decisions. In medical imaging applications, modalities that have been analyzed by ML include X-ray, CT, MRI, and ultrasound. By

2023, about 40 percent of the healthcare centers around the world already employ ML-based tools in medical imaging, while the figure could reach about 70 percent by the year 2030.

Deep Learning Models

Neural networks that contain more than one layer form the deep learning that is a category of ML algorithm. Powered by recent advancements in deep learning, convolutional neural networks, or CNNs for short, are a class of neural networks that are used to solve image-based problems, having accuracy levels of 90-95% in tasks such as identification of lung cancer.

Reinforcement Learning Models Scholars have proposed several reinforcement learning models for solving problems in the RL framework.

Reinforcement learning models adapt because they make decisions based on their experiences in the physical world. In medical imaging, they are used for:

- Improve imaging protocols: Change the characteristic sets according to the radiation dose.
- Robotic Surgery: Performing image feedback based on guiding robotic tools.

Applications of ML Models

- Tumor Segmentation: Different approaches with ML models demonstrate that it is possible to accurately outline the boundaries of the tumor or any kind of pathology when using MRI scans with an accuracy level higher than 88%.
- Disease Classification: Various diseases, including diabetic retinopathy, are diagnosed from retinal images using ML-based algorithms with 87% sensitivity.
- Image Reconstruction: Improving the quality of visually poor images; however, there are also applications that require improving image quality, like denoising in low-dose CT images.

Impact of ML Models

- Increased Efficiency: ML facilitates the objectification of diagnosis by cutting the time taken in image analysis in half and letting radiologists tackle key cases.
- Improved Accuracy: Research presented by ML has shown that this technology can help decrease diagnostic errors by 30% in radiology operations.
- Enhanced Accessibility: As a result of the analysis carried out by the hosts, ML brings about effective diagnosis in low-end remote areas.

Code Implementation: This paper focuses on A Neural Network Approach for Image Classification.

Advances in Deep Learning and Transfer Learning Models in Medical Imaging

The three principal DL applications in the context of medical imaging analysis include image classification, segmentation, and anomaly detection, and these are boosted by the use of transfer learning. The application of information from the imaging data is facilitated through algorithms such as the neural network CNN. Due to the high levels of efficiency and reliability they exhibit, they have become essential aids in diagnostics today.

Frameworks in Radiology

Essentially, deep learning is the use of multi-layered artificial neural networks that are capable of learning. CNNs, which belong to the deep learning algorithm, are best suited for image-based data sets. Deep learning models are widely used in:

- Disease Classification: For example, the DL models have given a range of accuracy of 95-97 percent in the diagnosis of pneumonia in chest X-rays.
- Tumor Segmentation: In brain MRI tumor segmentation tasks, attaining Dice similarity coefficients of more than 90 percent is achievable.
- Image Enhancement: Improve low-dose CT image while maintaining image quality.

A study conducted by respondents [Source: Frost & Sullivan] discovered that 70% of contemporary developed country radiology departments have either explored or deployed deep learning models, and the utilization ratio is estimated to enhance the arrival of 85% in 2030.

Why Transfer Learning Is Essential in Medical Imaging.

Transfer learning, also commonly known as ‘domain adaptation,’ is a practice of transferring knowledge derived from big data sets to more focused and specific data sets of different domains. This approach cuts down dramatically the training time and computational overhead as well as enhances accuracy. Earlier pre-trained networks such as VGG16 and ResNet, as well as Inception, have been applied to medical image analysis. • Employing the ResNet model, the investigators attained a classification of ninety-three percent of COVID-19 from chest X-rays. • Through transfer learning, the training time is cut down by 50-70% compared to having to train from the start. DELs, developed on large datasets, are fine-tuned for specific tasks using smaller, domain-specific datasets. This approach significantly reduces the training time and computational resources required while improving accuracy. Pre-trained models like VGG16, ResNet, and Inception have been widely adapted for medical imaging tasks.

For instance:

- Using a pre-trained ResNet model, researchers achieved a classification accuracy of 93% in detecting COVID-19 from chest X-rays.
- Transfer learning reduces training time by 50-70% compared to training a model from scratch.

Difficulties of Deep and Transfer Learning

- High Accuracy: Deep learning models are in general 10-20% more accurate than conventional machine learning approaches in medical imaging tasks.
- Reduced Training Data Requirements: Transfer learning is effective in training, especially if data sets are limited, a common constraint in rare diseases.
- Automation: Deep learning models work on par with the radiologists to lessen the load from the radiologist ranging between 30-50%.

Challenges and Future Trends

Despite their advantages, these models face challenges such as

- Data Privacy: This requirement highly often is an issue of the need to have a vast amount of data incompatible with the patient’s rights to privacy.
- Interpretability: The case for explaining how deep learning models make decisions is well argued through the need for trust in clinical applications.

New trends you have are federated learning, needed for privacy, and explainable AI (XAI) for understandability. In the imaging industry, the application of deep learning is predicted to rise to 90% by 2030 to enhance precision medicine.

Code Implementation: This paper focuses on the following areas: Transfer Learning for Medical Image Classification

Real-World Impact

- Enhanced Diagnostics: Diagnostic error is reduced by 30 to 40% using deep learning models, especially in the treatment of cancer and lung diseases.
- Resource Optimization: Transfer learning helps developing countries apply AI-driven tools without accumulating large numbers of datasets.

They are specifically confirming that deep and transfer learning models are really defining a new paradigm for medical imaging, one based on diagnosis that is more precise, more efficient, and more accessible. Given the increasing sophistication of the technology, their adoption into health systems will increase with time to the advantage of patients and clinicians.

Discussion

The incorporation of AI into machine learning and medical imaging will, indeed, change the way healthcare is practiced in terms of disease detection, diagnosis, and treatment planning. Works like those of Liu et al. (2019), which were systematic reviews and meta-analyses of deep learning models and healthcare professionals in the detection of diseases from medical imaging, have found that AI models, especially convolutional neural networks, achieved diagnostic accuracy comparable to or better than their medical counterparts most often in dermatology and radiology.

Another front by AI was in early detection and diagnosis of diseases like brain tumors, cancers, and cardiovascular diseases. According to Gunturu et al. (2023), works were done using multimodal biomedical diagnosis systems wherein medical images are fused with patient symptoms and medical queries through AI to drive improved context-aware diagnostics. Similarly, Banerjee et al. (2020) highlighted how AI combined with IoT and wearable devices changed healthcare by enabling data collection and analysis in real time, paving the way for proactive medical care.

The enhancement of medical diagnosis is, however, not the only area that can be boosted by AI; it also applies to treatment planning and treatment outcome optimization. , as the latest systematic review by Sun & Ortiz (2024) notes, these systems can synthesize fake medical images to help anticipate how patients are likely to react to different therapies in order to help doctors sort out treatment strategies. In addition, based on the AI diagnostic systems, IoT, and real-time patient condition assessments, the development of smart healthcare systems is enhanced (Muhammad et al., 2021). Overall, these intelligent service processes have been most effective in use in critical care services, where timing of action is crucial.

However, it should be acknowledged that there are certain obstacles that still affect the ability to introduce AI into the area of medical imaging. Drawing from the works of Razzak et al. (2018), the challenges of data deficit, overfitting, and the requirement to develop big marked-up datasets for AI had been stated. However, the rationale of AI solutions in decision-making is often criticized and opaque since many current models, including deep learning models, are known to be ‘black box models’ that offer no explanation of their findings (Rana & Bhushan, 2023). It is thus important for the field to formulate ways through which more interpretable AI models can be developed so as to enhance clinicians’ confidence and utilization of AI technologies in the healthcare system.

There are also other significant issues that arise around AI application in medical imaging, for example, data privacy and security.

Particularly, as more organizations embrace the use of artificial intelligence diagnostic systems, the question of proper encryption and tight data sharing becomes vital. Yan et al. (2023) point out that there are privacy concerns that have to be met with a view to making certain that AI solutions that are being implemented in the healthcare sector do not infringe patient data in any way or allow such data to fall prey to the vice of cyber exploits. The use of a secure communication channel and the employment of modern cryptographic measures.

Such types of risks inevitable to appear; however, getting rid of them will require the use of the following methods.

Other generative AI applications are also seen as a great leap forward in medical imaging in terms of enabling the generation of training- and simulation-grade synthetic medical images. In their paper, Shiwlani et al. (2023) pointed out that these technologies might be used to synthesize new rare medical conditions or to increase the sharpness of images, enhancing the abilities of an AI system. However, as the models may be employed for enhancing the training data, their use within real-life clinical settings needs more testing regarding the realism and precision of emitted pictures.

Based on the mentioned rapid development of artificial intelligence, the application of multimodal image fusion is of great significance in the contemporary health care system. In this technique, usually a CT scan, MRI, or PET scan, together or in combination, is used in order to get multi-dimensional views of the patient’s case. Multimodal image datasets can also be used for training machine learning models, and the results indicate that models trained with such datasets can therefore perform better than single-mode analysis, improving on the overall diagnostic quality as shown by Gao et al. (2022). Such a combination of medical images is particularly beneficial to the cases that cannot be solved by one modality alone.

Deep learning algorithms are also used for expediting the process in medical imaging. As opined by Anwar et al. (2018), the medical application of CNNs in image segmentation and classification has instigated the simplification of the diagnostic methods through automation of imaging, low error rate, and hastened diagnostic procedures.

However, there are challenges connecting to the implementation of these applications, including cost, infrastructure, and consequently developing large-scale implementation strategies. As per Yadav et al. (2024), if the above-discussed fuzzy-based image recognition models are adopted for the healthcare sector, the results can be made far more accurate by building permutations with uncertainty in a healthcare decision-making model.

However, given these opportunities, there is significant scope for future work to trial and address the following: practical moral problems, technical issues to do with data privacy, and issues of how algorithms can be made more transparent. With the persisting shift towards greater adoption of AI in healthcare, there is a need to incorporate AI solutions to meet with global standards of patient rights compliance, data protection, and ethical standards (Wolbarst & Hendee, 2006; Jiang et al., 2010). It is therefore up to AI as well as smart medical imaging to fully realize its potential of supporting better patient management, improving results, and facilitating the creation of better intelligent healthcare systems.

Results

The current study-on the incorporation of intelligent models and imaging technologies in the healthcare setting-was interpreted on several fronts from various data sources and opinions collected from healthcare professionals surveyed with an online questionnaire. Results are to advertise the status of medical imaging technologies, the justification for AI's role toward betterment in diagnosis, and the hurdles encountered in their implementations. The summary reviews the results based on thematically agreed-upon domains:

Usage of Medical Imaging Technology:

The majority of respondents (85%) affirmed the use of medical imaging technology in their field of practice, with MRI (70%) and X-ray (55%) being such technologies predominantly used. CT scans and ultrasound were cited by a significant fraction of respondents. This depicts, evidently, how wide-ranging medical imaging technologies are in healthcare but also broadcasts the pressing need for continual advancements in these technologies for furthering diagnosis.

Knack with AI in Medical Imaging Technology:

Half of the respondents had declared being much acquainted with AI-based medical imaging technologies, while 30% were somewhat acquainted. Only 20% claimed that they didn't know about these technologies; the increasing awareness and development of AI technologies inside the medical imaging sector.

Accuracy and Efficacy of AI Models:

When asked how accurate they thought AI was at detecting diseases, 30% said very accurate. Another 40% thought somewhat accurate, and the remaining 30% found it inaccurate. This degree of trust signals a positive trend towards AI, but still hints of skepticism regarding the diagnostic accuracy purported by such approaches. More improvements and validations are necessary for developing trust in AI systems.

Perceived Benefits of AI in Diagnosis:

About 80% showed agreement among themselves regarding the fact that they think AI could support diagnostic decision-making; of these, 10% were not sure, and a further 10% disagreed. This gives a very strong belief that AI would boost decision-making and the clinical processes.

Integration of AI in Clinical Practice:

Sixty percent of respondents reported using AI or machine learning models in their practice; 40% did not. Those with experience in AI, majority said, had predominantly been used as a supportive tool for diagnosis, especially in detecting cancer and in radiology. However, 45% of said respondents further admitted that they encountered different obstacles in integrating AI into clinical imaging systems, with related issues being data privacy, transparency, and tech issues.

Challenges in AI implementation:

The challenges in AI implementation varied, with the most frequently voiced problem being that of privacy and security (55%), followed by lack of training (40%), model interpretability (35%), and finally, technical issues faced by 30%. Those concerns bring into focus the need for proper protection from a data standpoint as AI starts to become fused with mainstream access in the healthcare system.

Support for Further AI Integration:

Notwithstanding these hurdles, about 70% of respondents supported AI further in integration into medical imaging systems; 20% were undecided, while 10% were against it. This reflects a general excitedness in view of how AI can improve healthcare, although one should be cautious in targeting the issues of safety, transparency, regulation, etc.

Perception of AI Versus Human Experts:

Perceptions fluctuated on the question of AI outperforming human experts. While 30% agreed that AI could outperform human experts in certain contexts, 40% stated that AI was not capable of taking the place of clinicia~ expertise, and 30% outright disagreed. This reveals some nuanced view in this regard about AI's role in the healthcare sector, with many perceiving AI as a complementary enterprise as opposed to replacement.

Expectations and Improvements Going Forward:

So, in terms of improvements that they would like to see in the technologies of medical imaging, most of them had the same request, and that was either for better resolution (60%), or fast processing (50%). AI integration (45%) and cost-effective solutions (40%) were two others that were highly sought-after suggestions the respondents expressed.

Online Questionnaire Results

The online questionnaire consisted of 20 questions on the adoption and perceptions of AI-based medical imaging technologies. This questionnaire was completed by 100 healthcare professionals hailing from different domains, including the medical platform of their operations. Such fields included doctors, nurses, medical technicians, and researchers. Below are the findings:

Question	Response Options	Frequency (%)
1. What is your professional background?	Doctor, Nurse, Medical Technician, Researcher, Other	Doctor: 40%, Nurse: 30%, Technician: 20%, Other: 10%
2. How familiar are you with AI-based medical imaging technologies?	Very familiar, Somewhat familiar, Not familiar at all	Very familiar: 50%, Somewhat familiar: 30%, Not familiar: 20%
3. Do you currently use medical imaging technologies in your practice?	Yes, No	Yes: 85%, No: 15%
4. Which types of medical imaging technologies do you use?	MRI, CT scan, X-ray, Ultrasound, Other	MRI: 70%, X-ray: 55%, Ultrasound: 45%, CT scan: 50%
5. Have you used AI or machine learning models in your clinical practice?	Yes, No	Yes: 60%, No: 40%
6. What is your opinion on the accuracy of AI models in detecting diseases?	Very accurate, Somewhat accurate, Not accurate	Very accurate: 30%, Somewhat accurate: 40%, Not accurate: 30%
7. Do you believe AI can enhance diagnostic decision-making?	Yes, No, Unsure	Yes: 80%, No: 10%, Unsure: 10%
8. Have you encountered any challenges when integrating AI into systems?	Yes, No, Unsure	Yes: 45%, No: 40%, Unsure: 15%

9. Which challenges have you faced in using AI-based medical imaging systems?	Data privacy, Lack of training, Model interpretability, Technical issues	Data privacy: 55%, Lack of training: 40%, Model interpretability: 35%, Technical issues: 30%
10. Do you think AI models can outperform human experts in diagnostic accuracy?	Yes, No, In some cases	Yes: 30%, No: 30%, In some cases: 40%
11. How satisfied are you with the current medical imaging technologies available to you?	Very satisfied, Satisfied, Neutral, Unsatisfied, Very unsatisfied	Very satisfied: 25%, Satisfied: 50%, Neutral: 15%, Unsatisfied: 10%
12. What improvements are needed in medical imaging technologies?	Better resolution, Faster processing times, More affordable, More AI integration	Better resolution: 60%, Faster processing: 50%, Affordable: 40%, More AI: 45%
13. How important is patient data security when using AI-based medical imaging technologies?	Very important, Important, Not important	Very important: 70%, Important: 25%, Not important: 5%
14. Would you support the further integration of AI in diagnostic systems if it improves efficiency and accuracy?	Yes, No, Unsure	Yes: 70%, No: 10%, Unsure: 20%
15. What are your concerns regarding AI in healthcare?	Loss of jobs, Ethical concerns, Security and privacy, Lack of transparency	Loss of jobs: 30%, Ethical concerns: 25%, Security/privacy: 55%, Lack of transparency: 40%
16. How often do you use AI-based decision support tools in your practice?	Frequently, Occasionally, Rarely, Never	Frequently: 30%, Occasionally: 40%, Rarely: 20%, Never: 10%
17. Do you think AI can help in remote medical diagnosis in underserved areas?	Yes, No, Unsure	Yes: 75%, No: 15%, Unsure: 10%
18. What additional features would you like to see in AI-based medical imaging technologies?	Real-time analysis, Multimodal data fusion, Better EHR integration	Real-time analysis: 60%, Multimodal fusion: 45%, EHR integration: 40%
19. Do you think regulatory bodies should implement stricter guidelines for AI use in healthcare?	Yes, No, Unsure	Yes: 65%, No: 20%, Unsure: 15%
20. Would you recommend the use of AI-based medical imaging systems to others in the healthcare field?	Yes, No, Unsure	Yes: 80%, No: 10%, Unsure: 10%

The results from the questionnaire demonstrate strong support for the integration of AI in medical imaging, with many respondents recognizing its potential to enhance diagnostic accuracy and decision-making. However, concerns around data privacy, lack of transparency, and the need for further training in AI tools were common. The challenges of integrating AI were noted by nearly half of the respondents, but there is a clear consensus on the potential for AI to improve healthcare delivery, especially in underserved areas. Overall, these findings reinforce the importance of advancing AI technologies while addressing ethical, technical, and security concerns.

Conclusion

Implementing smart models and the medical imaging technologies is a break through innovation in medical practices that has brought drastic enhancements in diseases detection, diagnosing and treatment planning processes. Starting from the deep learning implementation in identifying brain tumors, to the utilization of augmented realities to help visualize the patients better, these have added more tools to the clinician’s tool belt, giving the doctors more accurate and timely data. Various computational processes such as deep learning and CNNs have shown competence in performing diagnostic capability at par with healthcare practitioners; hence, reasserting the potential of both elements in enhancing patients’ experiences.

However, as observed in the current discussion, the use of the AI technique in medical imaging is not without its shortcoming. Some concerns include; data unavailability, the opaque nature of deep learning algorithms, and the privacy as well as security. AI and ML options have to be integrated with strong data security measures and not obscure algorithms so that HLPs and patients can gain from these systems.

Other examples include multimodal image fusion and generative AI; how the advancement of health technologies carries on with the next phase of transformation to improve diagnostic accuracy, rely on the given strategies for getting high precision pictures of the human body, and serve the purpose of the patient. Also, and as the ecosystem of healthcare transforms, the IoT integration in building a smart environment key to real-time and patient-care settings.

Consequently, smart models and medical imaging technologies in the future will make understanding of medical conditions better, increase the efficacy and effectiveness of diagnostic procedures, improve the patient’s quality of life, and, therefore, reduce the health care expenses. Despite the progress, more research has to be done, best practices have to be observed and the uses have to be carefully planned to ensure that these technologies extend their potential of revolutionizing the health systems in the world.

Acknowledgment

The authors acknowledge that this manuscript is an extended version of the article submitted to the International Research Conference on Interdisciplinary Excellence (IRCIE 2025), held as part of the Kuala Lumpur International Summit on Sustainable Future (KLSF 2025), and organized by Universiti Kuala Lumpur on 2nd and 3rd September 2025 at the Connexion Conference & Event Centre, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia.

References

[1] Abhisheka, B., Biswas, S. K., Purkayastha, B., Das, D., & Escargueil, A. (2024). Recent trend in medical imaging modalities and their applications in disease diagnosis: a review. Multimedia Tools and Applications, 83(14), 43035-43070.

[2] Ali, U., Ali, S., & Ali, M. T. (2024). Synergies of AI and smart technology: Transforming cancer medicine, vaccine development, and patient care. International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Growth Evaluation.

[3] Alzahem, A., Latif, S., Boulila, W., & Koubaa, A. (2023). Unlocking the potential of medical imaging with chatgpt's intelligent diagnostics. Procedia Computer Science, 225, 3583-3592.

[4] Anwar, S. M., Majid, M., Qayyum, A., Awais, M., Alnowami, M., & Khan, M. K. (2018). Medical image analysis using convolutional neural networks: a review. Journal of medical systems, 42, 1-13.

[5] Arabahmadi, M., Farahbakhsh, R., & Rezazadeh, J. (2022). Deep learning for smart Healthcare—A survey on brain tumor detection from medical imaging. Sensors, 22(5), 1960.

[6] Banerjee, A., Chakraborty, C., & Rathi Sr, M. (2020). Medical imaging, artificial intelligence, internet of things, wearable devices in terahertz healthcare technologies. In Terahertz biomedical and healthcare technologies (pp. 145-165). Elsevier.

[7] Crosby, D., Bhatia, S., Brindle, K. M., Coussens, L. M., Dive, C., Emberton, M., ... & Balasubramanian, S. (2022). Early detection of cancer. Science, 375(6586), eaay9040.

[8] de Carvalho, L. G., Kobayashi, T., Cypriano, M. D. S., Caran, E. M. M., Lederman, H. M., Alves, M. T. D. S., & Abib, S. D. C. V. (2021). Diagnostic errors in Wilms' tumors: Learning from our mistakes. Frontiers in Pediatrics, 9, 757377.

[9] Dhawan, A. P. (2011). Medical image analysis. John Wiley & Sons.

[10] Divyashree, P., & Dwivedi, P. (2023). Smart Digital Healthcare Solutions Using Medical Imaging and Advanced AI Techniques. In Machine Learning and Deep Learning Techniques for Medical Image Recognition (pp. 76-89). CRC Press.
[11] Diwakar, M., Singh, P., Shankar, A., Nayak, S. R., Nayak, J., Vimal, S., ... & Sisodia, D. (2022). Directive clustering contrast-based multi-modality medical image fusion for smart healthcare system. Network Modeling Analysis in Health Informatics and Bioinformatics, 11(1), 15.
[12] Duffy, S. W., Vulkan, D., Cuckle, H., Parmar, D., Sheikh, S., Smith, R. A., Evans, A., Blyuss, O., Johns, L., Ellis, I. O., Myles, J., Sasieni, P. D., & Moss, S. M. (2020). Effect of mammographic screening from age 40 years on breast cancer mortality (UK Age trial): final results of a randomised, controlled trial. The Lancet. Oncology, 21(9), 1165–1172. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1470-2045(20)30398-3
[13] Elaziz, M. A., Dahou, A., Mabrouk, A., Ibrahim, R. A., & Aseeri, A. O. (2023). Medical image classifications for 6G IoT-enabled smart health systems. Diagnostics, 13(5), 834.
[14] Gao, Z., Lou, L., Wang, M., Sun, Z., Chen, X., Zhang, X., ... & Shen, X. (2022). Application of Machine Learning in Intelligent Medical Image Diagnosis and Construction of Intelligent Service Process. Computational Intelligence and Neuroscience, 2022(1), 9152605.
[15] Gunturu, V., Krishnamoorthy, R., Begum, M. A., Jayakarthik, R., Tanaka, K., & Ramesh, J. V. N. (2023). A Smart Multimodal Biomedical Diagnosis Based on Patient's Medical Questions and Symptoms. In 5G-Based Smart Hospitals and Healthcare Systems (pp. 105-122). CRC Press.
[16] Gupta, P., & Pandey, M. K. (2). Role Health of Diagnosis AI for Smart and Treatment. Smart Medical Imaging for Diagnosis and Treatment Planning, 23(2).
[17] Jiang, J., Trundle, P., & Ren, J. (2010). Medical image analysis with artificial neural networks. Computerized Medical Imaging and Graphics, 34(8), 617-631.
[18] Jiang, J., Zhang, J., Sun, J., Wu, D., & Xu, S. (2023). User's image perception improved strategy and application of augmented reality systems in smart medical care: A review. The International Journal of Medical Robotics and Computer Assisted Surgery, 19(3), e2497.
[19] Jiang, L., Ye, S., Zhao, L., Ma, X., & Yang, X. (2019). Medical image super-resolution for remote medical diagnosis in smart city: A case study based on the new healthcare reform of China. Sustainable Cities and Society, 48, 101497.
[20] Kansal, I., Popli, R., Verma, J., Bhardwaj, V., & Bhardwaj, R. (2022, March). Digital image processing and IoT in smart health care-A review. In 2022 international conference on emerging smart computing and informatics (ESCI) (pp. 1-6). IEEE.
[21] Lin, L., & Wang, L. V. (2022). The emerging role of photoacoustic imaging in clinical oncology. Nature Reviews Clinical Oncology, 19(6), 365-384.
[22] Liu, X., Faes, L., Kale, A. U., Wagner, S. K., Fu, D. J., Bruynseels, A., ... & Denniston, A. K. (2019). A comparison of deep learning performance against health-care professionals in detecting diseases from medical imaging: a systematic review and meta-analysis. The lancet digital health, 1(6), e271-e297.
[23] Mallum, A., Mkhize, T., Akudugu, J. M., Ngwa, W., & Vorster, M. (2022). The role of positron emission tomography and computed tomographic (PET/CT) imaging for radiation therapy planning: a literature review. Diagnostics, 13(1), 53..
[24] Muhammad, G., Alshehri, F., Karray, F., El Saddik, A., Alsulaiman, M., & Falk, T. H. (2021). A comprehensive survey on multimodal medical signals fusion for smart healthcare systems. Information Fusion, 76, 355-375.
[25] Panayides, A. S., Amini, A., Filipovic, N. D., Sharma, A., Tsaftaris, S. A., Young, A., ... & Pattichis, C. S. (2020). AI in medical imaging informatics: current challenges and future directions. IEEE journal of biomedical and health informatics, 24(7), 1837-1857.
[26] Park, S. H., & Han, K. (2018). Methodologic guide for evaluating clinical performance and effect of artificial intelligence technology for medical diagnosis and prediction. Radiology, 286(3), 800-809.
[27] Pastore, M. C., Mandoli, G. E., Aboumarie, H. S., Santoro, C., Bandera, F., D'Andrea, A., ... & Working Group of Echocardiography of the Italian Society of Cardiology. (2020). Basic and advanced echocardiography in advanced heart failure: an overview. Heart Failure Reviews, 25, 937-948.
[28] Pfeiffer, D., Pfeiffer, F., & Rummeny, E. (2020). Advanced X-ray imaging technology. Molecular Imaging in Oncology, 3-30.
[29] Rana, M., & Bhushan, M. (2023). Machine learning and deep learning approach for medical image analysis: diagnosis to detection. Multimedia Tools and Applications, 82(17), 26731-26769.
[30] Razzak, M. I., Naz, S., & Zaib, A. (2018). Deep learning for medical image processing: Overview, challenges and the future. Classification in BioApps: Automation of decision making, 323-350.
[31] Rowe, S. P., & Pomper, M. G. (2022). Molecular imaging in oncology: Current impact and future directions. CA: a cancer journal for clinicians, 72(4), 333-352.
[32] Roy, S., Meena, T., & Lim, S. J. (2022). Demystifying supervised learning in healthcare 4.0: A new reality of transforming diagnostic medicine. Diagnostics, 12(10), 2549.
[33] Shi, B., Yuan, Y., Zhuang, T., Xu, X., Schmidhalter, U., Ata-Ul-Karim, S. T., ... & Cao, Q. (2022). Improving water status prediction of winter wheat using multi-source data with machine learning. European Journal of Agronomy, 139, 126548.
[34] Shiwlani, A., Khan, M., Sherani, A. M. K., & Qayyum, M. U. (2023). Synergies of AI and Smart Technology: Revolutionizing Cancer Medicine, Vaccine Development, and Patient Care. International Journal of Social, Humanities and Life Sciences, 1(1), 10-18.

[35] Simović, A., Lutovac-Banduka, M., Lekić, S., & Kuleto, V. (2022). Smart visualization of medical images as a tool in the function of education in neuroradiology. Diagnostics, 12(12), 3208.
[36] Sun, Y., & Ortiz, J. (2024). Rapid Review of Generative AI in Smart Medical Applications. arXiv preprint arXiv:2406.06627.
[37] Unterrainer, M., Eze, C., Ilhan, H., Marschner, S., Roengvoraphoj, O., Schmidt-Hegemann, N. S., ... & Belka, C. (2020). Recent advances of PET imaging in clinical radiation oncology. Radiation Oncology, 15, 1-15.
[38] Wang, J., Zhu, H., Wang, S. H., & Zhang, Y. D. (2021). A review of deep learning on medical image analysis. Mobile Networks and Applications, 26(1), 351-380.
[39] Withers, P. J., Bouman, C., Carmignato, S., Cnudde, V., Grimaldi, D., Hagen, C. K., ... & Stock, S. R. (2021). X-ray computed tomography. Nature Reviews Methods Primers, 1(1), 18.
[40] Wolbarst, A. B., & Hendee, W. R. (2006). Evolving and experimental technologies in medical imaging. Radiology, 238(1), 16-39.
[41] Yadav, R. S., Singh, A., & Yadav, S. P. (2024, March). The smart Fuzzy based Image Recognition Model for Modern Healthcare. In 2024 2nd International Conference on Disruptive Technologies (ICDT) (pp. 250-254). IEEE.
[42] Yadav, S. P., & Yadav, S. (2020). Image fusion using hybrid methods in multimodality medical images. Medical & Biological Engineering & Computing, 58(4), 669-687.
[43] Yan, F., Li, N., Iliyasu, A. M., Salama, A. S., & Hirota, K. (2023). Insights into security and privacy issues in smart healthcare systems based on medical images. Journal of Information Security and Applications, 78, 103621.
[44] Zhou, S. K., Greenspan, H., Davatzikos, C., Duncan, J. S., Van Ginneken, B., Madabhushi, A., ... & Summers, R. M. (2021). A review of deep learning in medical imaging: Imaging traits, technology trends, case studies with progress highlights, and future promises. Proceedings of the IEEE, 109(5), 820-838.